IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

TOWFEQ SARIF, on behalf of himself and a class of similarly situated persons,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EVOLVE BANK & TRUST,

Defendant.

CASE NO.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND CHOICE OF LAW	3
III.	PARTIES	4
	A. Plaintiff Towfeq Zarif	4
	B. Defendant Evolve Bank & Trust	6
IV.	FACTUAL BACKGROUND	6
	A. Evolve Aid Failed to Adequately Protect Customer Data, Resulti in the Data Breach	
	B. The Data Breach Puts Consumers at Increased Risk of Fraud and Identity Theft	
V.	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS	8
VI.	CAUSES OF ACTION	10
	A. Claims Brought on Behalf of the Nationwide Class	10
COU.	INT TWO NEGLIGENCE PER SE	12
COU.	NT THREE GROSS NEGLIGENCE	13
COU.	INT FOUR BREACH OF EXPRESS CONTRACTS	15
COU.	INT FIVE BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACTS	17
<u>COU</u>	INT SIX BREACH OF IMPLIED DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING	
<u>COU</u>	NT SEVEN UNJUST ENRICHMENT (ALTERNATIVE TO BREACH C	
<u>COU</u>	NT EIGHT DECLARATORY JUDGMENT	22
<u>COU</u>	NT NINE.	22
	A. Claims Brought on Behalf of the Virginia Subclass	22
VII.	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	25
VIII	VIII DEMAND FOR HIRY TRIAI	25

Plaintiff Towfeq Zarif, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff"), brings this action against Defendant Evolve Bank & Trust ("Defendant"), seeking monetary damages, restitution, and/or injunctive relief for the proposed Class and Subclasses, as defined below. Plaintiff makes the following allegations upon information and belief, the investigation of counsel, and personal knowledge or facts that are a matter of public record.

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The release, disclosure, and publication of sensitive, private data can be devasting. Not only is it an intrusion of privacy and a loss of control, but it is a harbinger of identity theft: for victims of a data breach, the risk of identity theft more than quadruples. A data breach can have a grave consequences for victims for years after the actual date of the breach—with the obtained information, thieves can wreak many forms of havoc: open new financial accounts, take out loans, obtain medical services, obtain government benefits, and/or obtain driver's licenses in the victims' names, forcing victims to maintain a constant vigilance over the potential misuse of their information.
- 2. Memphis, Tennessee based Evolve Bank & Trust ("Evolve") markets itself as a financial services institution that "combines the expertise of a bank and the power of technology to offer our clients unique profitable solutions." Evolve represents: "Evolve is committed to our core values of integrity, financial strength, and operational excellence in all areas of our business."
- 3. On or about July 1, 2024, Defendant admitted that it experienced a data breach in late May in a Cybersecurity Incident notification on its website.⁴ (the "Data Breach"). The Cybersecurity Incident notification states:

In late May 2024, Evolve Bank & Trust identified that some of its systems were not working properly. While it initially appeared to

¹ Dave Maxfield & Bill Latham, Data Breaches: Perspectives from Both Sides of the Wall, S.C. Lawyer (May 2014).

² About Evolve | Evolve Bank & Trust (getevolved.com)(last visited on Aug. 12, 2024).

³ *Id*.

⁴ Cybersecurity Incident | Evolve Bank & Trust (getevolved.com)(last visited Aug. 12, 2024).

be a hardware failure, we subsequently learned it was unauthorized activity. We engaged cybersecurity specialists to investigate and determined that unauthorized activity may have been the cause. We promptly initiated our incident response processes and stopped the attack. The Bank has seen no new unauthorized activity since May 31, 2024. We engaged outside specialists to investigate what happened and what data was affected, as well as a firm to help us restore our services. We reported this incident to law enforcement.

While the investigation is ongoing, we want to share some important information about what we know so far. At this time, current evidence shows the following:

- This was a ransomware attack by the criminal organization, LockBit.
- They appear to have gained access to our systems when an employee inadvertently clicked on a malicious internet link.
- There is no evidence that the criminals accessed any customer funds, but it appears they did access and download customer information from our databases and a file share during periods in February and May.
- The threat actor also encrypted some data within our environment. However, we have backups available and experienced limited data loss and impact on our operations.
- We refused to pay the ransom demanded by the threat actor. As a result, they leaked the data they downloaded. They also mistakenly attributed the source of the data to the Federal Reserve Bank.⁵
- 4. What is extraordinarily troubling about the Data breach is that even though it knew how valuable customer information is, Evolve failed to adequately protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII. This PII was compromised due to Defendant's negligent and/or careless acts and omissions and their utter failure to protect customers' sensitive data. Hackers targeted and obtained Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII because of its value in exploiting and stealing the identities of Plaintiff and Class Members. The present and continuing risk to victims of the Data Breach will remain for their respective lifetimes.

⁵ *Id*.

- 5. Evolve has admitted that hackers gained access to personal information and may have obtained the "names, Social Security numbers, bank account numbers, and contact information."
- 6. As a result of the Data Breach, through which their Personally Identifiable Information ("PII") was compromised, disclosed, and obtained by unauthorized third parties, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered concrete damages and are now exposed to a heightened and imminent risk of fraud and identity theft for a period of years, if not decades. Furthermore, Plaintiff and Class Members must now and in the future closely monitor their financial accounts to guard against identity theft, at their own expense. Consequently, Plaintiff and the other Class Members will incur ongoing out-of-pocket costs for, *e.g.*, purchasing credit monitoring services, credit freezes, credit reports, or other protective measures to deter and detect identity theft.
- 7. By this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to remedy these harms on behalf of himself and all similarly situated individuals whose Private Information was accessed during the Data Breach.

II. JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND CHOICE OF LAW

- 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1711, *et seq.*, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs. This Court also has diversity jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 9. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant Evolve Bank & Trust because Evolve bank & Trust maintains its principal place of business in this District, has sufficient minimum contacts with this District, and has purposefully availed itself of the privilege of doing business in this District such that it could reasonably foresee litigation being brought in this District.

3

⁶ *Id*.

10. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) through (d) because Evolve's principal place of business is located in this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in, was directed to, and/or emanated from this District.

III. PARTIES

A. Plaintiff Towfeq Zarif

- 11. Plaintiff Towfeq Zarif is a citizen of and is domiciled in the state of Virginia.
- 12. Plaintiff is/was a customer of Evolve and used its banking services from approximately July 2023.
- 13. Plaintiff provided confidential and sensitive PII to Evolve, as requested and required by Evolve for the provision of its services. Evolve obtained and continues to maintain Plaintiff's PII and has a legal duty and obligation to protect that PII from unauthorized access and disclosure.
- 14. Plaintiff would not have entrusted his PII to Evolve had he known that Evolve failed to maintain adequate data security.
- 15. On or about August 6, 2024, Plaintiff received the following notification from Evolve that his information was compromised:

August 6, 2024

Re: Notice of Data Breach

Towfeq Zarif,

We are writing to inform you that some of your personal information was recently impacted when Evolve Bank & Trust ("Evolve") was the victim of a cybersecurity attack. Evolve provides financial services including Banking-as-a-Service products to host accounts and provides mobile banking. This incident did not impact your funds stored with Evolve.

Please read this notice carefully, as it provides information on what happened and what we are doing, as well as information on how you can obtain complimentary credit monitoring.

What happened?

On May 29, 2024, Evolve identified that some of its systems were not working properly. While it initially appeared to be a hardware

failure, we subsequently learned it was unauthorized activity. Evolve promptly initiated its incident response processes and stopped the attack. No new unauthorized activity on Evolve's systems related to this incident has been identified since May 31, 2024. An investigation with assistance from a cybersecurity firm was initiated to investigate what happened and what data may have been impacted.

Evolve also notified law enforcement and worked to add further protections to harden its systems.

What personal information was involved?

There is no evidence that the threat actors accessed any customer funds in connection with this incident, but it appears the threat actors did access and download customer information from Evolve's databases and a file share during periods in February and May 2024.

Within these downloaded files, Evolve identified the following personal data about you: Name, Contact Information, Evolve Account Number, Social Security Number and Date Of Birth.

What we are doing:

Evolve is offering you a complimentary 24 month membership to TransUnion's credit monitoring and identity theft protection services. We are also providing you with proactive fraud assistance to help with any questions that you might have or in the event that you become a victim of fraud. These services will be provided by Cyberscout, a TransUnion company specializing in fraud assistance and remediation services. Please see Attachment A below for additional details regarding these services. You must enroll by October 31, 2024, to receive these services.

Prior to the incident, Evolve had a significant number of cybersecurity measures in place. Since becoming aware of the incident, Evolve has taken steps to further strengthen its security response protocols, policies and procedures, and its ability to detect and respond to suspected incidents.

What you can do:

It is always a good idea to remain vigilant against threats of identity theft or fraud and to regularly review and monitor your account statements and credit history for any signs of unauthorized transactions or activity. You can also enroll in the TransUnion service being offered to you. Additional information about how to protect your identity and personal data is contained in Attachment B below.

For more information:

A dedicated call center is also being set up to answer your questions about this incident. You may call it toll free at 1 866 238

9974, Monday through Friday 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. ET (excluding major U.S. holidays) or email EvolveFAQ@transunion.com.

Sincerely,

Customer Support Team Evolve Bank & Trust

- 16. Plaintiff subsequently spent several hours taking action to mitigate the impact of the Data Breach, including researching the Data Breach, researching ways to protect himself from data breaches, and reviewing his financial accounts for fraud or suspicious activity. He now plans to spend several hours a month checking account statements for irregularities.
- 17. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress from the release of his PII, which he expected Evolve to protect from disclosure, including anxiety, concern, and unease about unauthorized parties viewing and potentially using his PII. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff anticipates spending considerable time and money to contain the impact of the Data Breach.

B. Defendant

- 18. Defendant Evolve Bank & Trust is an Arkansas corporation with its principal place of business in Memphis, Tennessee. It provides financial services.⁷
- 19. In the course of its business, Evolve collects email addresses, telephone numbers, home addresses, zip codes, credit card information, birthdays, transaction histories, home values, home locations, company information, job titles, information about traveling companions, and marketing information.⁸

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Evolve Failed to Adequately Protect Customer Data, Resulting in the Data Breach

20. On the Privacy Policy page of its website, Evolve states: "We very much appreciate your using Evolve and understand the importance of your personal privacy."

⁷ About Evolve | Evolve Bank & Trust (getevolved.com)(last visited Aug. 12, 2024).

⁸ Evolve Privacy Policy (last visited Aug. 12, 2024).

⁹ *Id*.

- 21. Notwithstanding these promises, on February 9, 2024, Evolve experienced a data breach affecting around 7.6 million people.¹⁰
 - 22. Evolve claims to have discovered the data breach on May 29, 2024. 11
- 23. Evolve admitted its systems had been breached by hacking through a Cybersecurity Incident notice on its website posted July 1, 2024¹² and a notice with the Office of the Maine Attorney General on July 8, 2024.¹³
- 24. Evolve was familiar with its obligations—created by contract, industry standards, common law, and representations to its customers—to protect customer information. Plaintiff and Class Members provided their Private Information to Evolve with the reasonable expectation that Evolve would comply with its obligations to keep such information confidential and secure.
- 25. Evolve failed to comply with these obligations, resulting in the Data Breach. Plaintiff and Class Members now face years of constant surveillance of their financial and personal records.

B. The Data Breach Puts Consumers at Increased Risk of Fraud and Identity Theft

- 26. An identity thief uses victims' PII, such as name, address, and other sensitive and confidential information, without permission, to commit fraud or other crimes that range from immigration fraud, obtaining a driver's license or identification card, obtaining government benefits, and filing fraudulent tax returns to obtain tax refunds.
- 27. Identity thieves can use a victim's PII to open new financial accounts, incur charges in the victim's name, take out loans in the victim's name, and incur charges on existing accounts of the victim. Plaintiff's finances are now at risk due to the Data Breach.

¹⁰ Office of the Maine AG: Consumer Protection: Privacy, Identity Theft and Data Security Breaches (last visited Aug. 12, 2024).

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² Cybersecurity Incident | Evolve Bank & Trust (getevolved.com)(last visited Aug. 12, 2024).

¹³ Office of the Maine AG: Consumer Protection: Privacy, Identity Theft and Data Security Breaches (last visited Aug. 12, 2024).

- 28. Identity theft is the most common consequence of a data breach—it occurs to 65% of data breach victims. 14 Consumers lost more than \$56 billion to identity theft and fraud in 2020, and over 75% of identity theft victims reported emotional distress. 15
- 29. Plaintiff and members of the Class are now in the position of having to take steps to mitigate the damages caused by the Data Breach. Once use of compromised non-financial PII is detected, the emotional and economic consequences to the victims are significant. Studies done by the ID Theft Resource Center, a non-profit organization, found that victims of identity theft had marked increased fear for personal financial security. The report attributes this to more people having been victims before, contributing to greater awareness and understanding that they may suffer long term consequences from this type of crime. ¹⁶
- 30. Evolve failed to protect and safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' private information, in fact failing to adhere to even its most basic obligations. As a result, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered or will suffer actual injury, including loss of privacy, costs, and loss of time.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

31. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of a proposed nationwide class (the "Class"), defined as:

All natural persons in the United States whose Personally Identifiable Information was compromised as a result of the Data Breach.

32. In addition, the State Subclasses are defined as follows:

Virginia Subclass: All natural persons in the State of Virginia whose Personally Identifiable Information was compromised as a result of the Data Breach.

33. **Numerosity and Ascertainability:** Plaintiff does not know the exact size of the Class or identity of the Class Members, since such information is in the exclusive control of

¹⁴ Eugene Bekker, *What Are Your Odds of Getting Your Identity Stolen?*, IDENTITYFORCE (Apr. 15, 2021), https://www.identityforce.com/blog/identity-theft-odds-identity-theft-statistics (last visited Feb. 1, 2023).

¹⁵ Id

¹⁶ Identity Theft: The Aftermath 2013, Identity Theft Resource Center, https://idtheftinfo.org/latest-news/72 (last visited Feb. 1, 2023).

Defendant. Nevertheless, the Class encompasses at least 7.6 million individuals dispersed throughout the United States. The number of Class Members is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable. The names, addresses, and phone numbers of Class Members are identifiable through documents maintained by Defendant.

- 34. **Commonality and Predominance:** This action involves common questions of law and fact which predominate over any question solely affecting individual Class Members. These common questions include:
 - a) whether Defendant engaged in the conduct alleged herein;
 - b) whether Defendant had a legal duty to use reasonable security measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII;
 - c) whether Defendant timely, accurately, and adequately informed Plaintiff and Class Members that their PII had been compromised;
 - d) whether Defendant breached their legal duty by failing to protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
 - e) whether Defendant acted reasonably in securing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
 - f) whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief; and
 - g) and whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages and equitable relief.
- 35. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other Class Members' claims because all Class Members were comparably injured through Defendant's substantially uniform misconduct, as described above. Plaintiff is advancing the same claims and legal theories on behalf of himself and all other members of the Class that she represents, and there are no defenses that are unique to Plaintiff. The claims of Plaintiff and Class Members arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- 36. Adequacy: Plaintiff is an adequate Class representative because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the other members of the Class they seek to represent; Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation; and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The Class's interest will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and his counsel.

37. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action. The damages and other detriment suffered by Plaintiff and other Class Members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be required to individually litigate their claims against Defendant, so it would be virtually impossible for the Class Members to individually seek redress for Defendant's wrongful conduct. Even if Class Members could afford individual litigation, the court system could not: individualized litigation creates a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments, increases the delay and expense to the parties, and increases the expense and burden to the court system. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by this Court.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

A. Claims Brought on Behalf of the Nationwide Class

COUNT ONE NEGLIGENCE

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing factual allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 39. Evolve owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members, arising from the sensitivity of the information, the expectation the information was going to be kept private, and the foreseeability of its data safety shortcomings resulting in an intrusion, to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding their sensitive personal information. This duty included, among other things, designing, implementing, maintaining, monitoring, and testing Evolve's networks, systems, protocols, policies, procedures and practices to ensure that Plaintiff's and Class Members' information was adequately secured from unauthorized access.
- 40. Evolve's Privacy Policy acknowledged Evolve's duty to adequately protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 41. Evolve owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to implement administrative, physical and technical safeguards, such as intrusion detection processes that detect data breaches in a timely manner, to protect and secure Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.

- 42. Evolve also had a duty to only maintain PII that was needed to serve customer needs.
- 43. Evolve owed a duty to disclose the material fact that its data security practices were inadequate to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 44. Evolve also had independent duties under Plaintiff's and Class Members' state laws that required Evolve to reasonably safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, and promptly notify them about the Data Breach.
- 45. Evolve had a special relationship with Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of being entrusted with their PII, which provided an independent duty of care. Plaintiff's and Class Members' willingness to entrust Evolve with their PII was predicated on the understanding that Evolve would take adequate security precautions. Moreover, Evolve was capable of protecting its networks and systems, and the PII it stored on them, from unauthorized access.
- 46. Evolve breached its duties by, among other things: (a) failing to implement and maintain adequate data security practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, including administrative, physical, and technical safeguards; (b) failing to detect the Data Breach in a timely manner; and (c) failing to disclose that its data security practices were inadequate to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 47. But for Evolve's breach of its duties, including its duty to use reasonable care to protect and secure Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII would not have been accessed by unauthorized parties.
- 48. Plaintiff and Class Members were foreseeable victims of Evolve's inadequate data security practices. Evolve knew or should have known that a breach of its data security systems would cause damage to Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 49. It was reasonably foreseeable that the failure to reasonably protect and secure Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII would result in unauthorized access to Evolve's networks, databases, and computers that stored or contained Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 50. As a result of Evolve's negligent failure to prevent the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered injury, which includes, but is not limited to, exposure to a heightened

and imminent risk of fraud, identity theft, and financial harm. Plaintiff and Class Members must monitor their financial accounts and credit histories more closely and frequently to guard against identity theft. Plaintiff and Class Members have also incurred, and will continue to incur on an indefinite basis, out-of-pocket costs for obtaining credit reports, credit freezes, credit monitoring services, and other protective measures to deter and detect identity theft. The unauthorized acquisition of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII has also diminished the value of the PII.

- 51. The harm to Plaintiff and Class Members was a proximate, reasonably foreseeable result of Evolve's breaches of its aforementioned duties.
- 52. Therefore, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

<u>COUNT TWO</u> NEGLIGENCE PER SE

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing factual allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Under the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTCA"), 15 U.S.C. § 45, Evolve had a duty to provide fair and adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 55. In addition, under state data security statutes, Evolve had a duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 56. Evolve breached its duties to Plaintiff and Class Members, under the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, ("FTCA") and the state data security statutes, by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 57. Plaintiff and Class Members were foreseeable victims of Evolve's violations of the FTCA and state data security statutes. Evolve knew or should have known that its failure to implement reasonable measures to protect and secure Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII would cause damage to Plaintiff and Class Members.

- 58. Evolve's failure to comply with the applicable laws and regulations constitutes negligence *per se*.
- 59. But for Evolve's violation of the applicable laws and regulations, Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII would not have been accessed by unauthorized parties.
- 60. As a result of Evolve's failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered injury, which includes but is not limited to the exposure to a heightened and imminent risk of fraud, identity theft, financial and other harm. Plaintiff and Class Members must monitor their financial accounts and credit histories more closely and frequently to guard against identity theft. Plaintiff and Class Members also have incurred, and will continue to incur on an indefinite basis, out-of-pocket costs for obtaining credit reports, credit freezes, credit monitoring services, and other protective measures to deter or detect identity theft. The unauthorized acquisition of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII has also diminished the value of the PII.
- 61. The harm to Plaintiff and the Class Members was a proximate, reasonably foreseeable result of Evolve's breaches of the applicable laws and regulations.
- 62. Therefore, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT THREE GROSS NEGLIGENCE

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing factual allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 64. Plaintiff and Class Members entrusted Evolve with highly-sensitive and inherently personal private data subject to confidentiality laws.
- 65. In requiring, obtaining and storing Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, Evolve owed a duty of reasonable care in safeguarding the PII.
- 66. Evolve's networks, systems, protocols, policies, procedures and practices, as described above, were not adequately designed, implemented, maintained, monitored and tested to ensure that Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII were secured from unauthorized access.

- 67. Evolve's networks, systems, protocols, policies, procedures and practices, as described above, were not reasonable given the sensitivity of the Plaintiff's and Class Members' private data and the known vulnerabilities of Evolve's systems.
- 68. Evolve did not comply with state and federal laws and rules concerning the use and safekeeping of this private data.
- 69. Upon learning of the Data Breach, Evolve should have immediately disclosed the Data Breach to Plaintiff and Class Members, credit reporting agencies, the Internal Revenue Service, financial institutions and all other third parties with a right to know and the ability to mitigate harm to Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of the Data Breach.
- 70. Despite knowing its networks, systems, protocols, policies, procedures and practices, as described above, were not adequately designed, implemented, maintained, monitored and tested to ensure that Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII were secured from unauthorized access, Evolve ignored the inadequacies and was oblivious to the risk of unauthorized access it had created.
- 71. Evolve's behavior establishes facts evidencing a reckless disregard for Plaintiff's and Class Members' rights.
 - 72. Evolve, therefore, was grossly negligent.
 - 73. Evolve's negligence also constitutes negligence per se.
 - 74. The negligence is directly linked to injuries.
- 75. As a result of Evolve's reckless disregard for Plaintiff's and Class Members' rights by failing to secure their PII, despite knowing its networks, systems, protocols, policies, procedures and practices were not adequately designed, implemented, maintained, monitored and tested, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered injury, which includes but is not limited to the exposure to a heightened, imminent risk of fraud, identity theft, financial and other harm. Plaintiff and Class Members must monitor their financial accounts and credit histories more closely and frequently to guard against identity theft. Plaintiff and Class Members also have incurred, and will continue to incur on an indefinite basis, out-of-pocket costs for obtaining credit reports, credit freezes, credit monitoring services, and other protective measures to deter or

detect identity theft. The unauthorized acquisition of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII has also diminished the value of the PII.

- 76. The harm to Plaintiff and the Class Members was a proximate, reasonably foreseeable result of Evolve's breaches of the applicable laws and regulations.
- 77. Therefore, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT FOUR BREACH OF EXPRESS CONTRACTS

- 78. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 79. Plaintiff and members of the Class, additionally and alternatively, allege that they entered into valid and enforceable express contracts with Evolve.
- 80. Under these express contracts, Evolve promised and was obligated to: (a) provide services to Plaintiff and Class Members; and (b) protect Plaintiff and the Class Members' PII. In exchange, Plaintiff and members of the Class agreed to pay money for these services.
- 81. Both the provision of services, as well as the protection of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, were material aspects of these contracts.
- 82. Evolve's express representations, including, but not limited to, express representations found in Evolve's Privacy Policy, formed an express contract requiring Evolve to implement data security adequate to safeguard and protect the privacy of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 83. Alternatively, the express contracts included implied terms requiring Evolve to implement data security adequate to safeguard and protect the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, including in accordance with federal, state and local laws, and industry standards.
- 84. Consumers value their privacy, the privacy of their dependents, and the ability to keep their PII associated with obtaining services private. To customers such as Plaintiff and Class Members, services that do not adhere to industry-standard data security protocols to protect

PII are fundamentally less useful and less valuable than services that adhere to industry-standard data security. Plaintiff and Class Members would not have entered into these contracts with Evolve without an understanding that their PII would be safeguarded and protected.

- 85. A meeting of the minds occurred, as Plaintiff and members of the Class provided their PII to Evolve and paid for the provided services in exchange for, amongst other things, protection of their PII.
- 86. Evolve materially breached the terms of these express contracts, including, but not limited to, the terms stated in the relevant Privacy Policy. Specifically, Evolve did not comply with federal, state and local laws, or industry standards, or otherwise protect Plaintiff's and the Class Members' PII, as set forth above. Further, on information and belief, Evolve has not yet provided Data Breach notifications to some affected Class Members who may already be victims of identity fraud or theft or are at imminent risk of becoming victims of identity theft or fraud associated with PII that they provided to Evolve. These Class Members are as yet unaware of the potential source for the compromise of their PII.
- 87. The Data Breach was a reasonably foreseeable consequence of Evolve's actions in breach of these contracts.
- 88. As a result of Evolve 's failure to fulfill the data security protections promised in these contracts, Plaintiff and members of the Class did not receive the full benefit of the bargain, and instead received services that were of a diminished value to that described in the contracts. Plaintiff and Class Members, therefore, were damaged in an amount at least equal to the difference in the value of the secure services they paid for and the services they received.
- 89. Had Evolve disclosed that its security was inadequate or that it did not adhere to industry-standard security measures, neither Plaintiff, nor Class Members, nor any reasonable person would have purchased services from Evolve.
- 90. As a result of Evolve's breach, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered actual damages resulting from the theft of their PII, as well as the loss of control of their PII, and remain in imminent risk of suffering additional damages in the future.

- 91. As a result of Evolve's breach, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered actual damages resulting from their attempt to mitigate the effects of the breach of contract and subsequent Data Breach, including but not limited to, taking steps to protect themselves from the loss of their PII.
- 92. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have been injured as a result of Evolve's breach of contracts and are entitled to damages and/or restitution in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT FIVE BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACTS

- 93. Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing factual allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 94. Plaintiff and Class Members were required to provide their PII to obtain services from Evolve. Plaintiff and Class Members entrusted their PII to Evolve in order to obtain services from them.
- 95. By providing their PII, and upon Evolve's acceptance of such information, Plaintiff and Class Members on one hand, and Evolve on the other hand, entered into implied contracts for the provision of adequate data security, separate and apart from any express contracts concerning the services provided, whereby Evolve was obligated to take reasonable steps to secure and safeguard that information.
- 96. Evolve had an implied duty of good faith to ensure that the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members in its possession was only used in accordance with their contractual obligations.
- 97. Evolve was therefore required to act fairly, reasonably, and in good faith in carrying out its contractual obligations to protect the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and to comply with industry standards and state laws and regulations for the security of this information, and Evolve expressly assented to these terms in its Privacy Policy as alleged above.
- 98. Under these implied contracts for data security, Evolve was further obligated to provide Plaintiff and all Class Members, with prompt and sufficient notice of any and all unauthorized access and/or theft of their PII.

- 99. Plaintiff and Class Members performed all conditions, covenants, obligations, and promises owed to Evolve, including paying for the services provided by Evolve and/or providing the PII required by Evolve.
- 100. Evolve breached the implied contracts by failing to take adequate measures to protect the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, resulting in the Data Breach. Evolve unreasonably interfered with the contract benefits owed to Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 101. Further, on information and belief, Evolve has not yet provided Data Breach notifications to some affected Class Members who may already be victims of identity fraud or theft, or are at imminent risk of becoming victims of identity theft or fraud, associated with the PII that they provided to Evolve. These Class Members are unaware of the potential source for the compromise of their PII.
- 102. The Data Breach was a reasonably foreseeable consequence of Evolve's actions in breach of these contracts.
- 103. As a result of Evolve's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members did not receive the full benefit of the bargain, and instead received services that were of a diminished value as compared to the secure services they paid for. Plaintiff and Class Members, therefore, were damaged in an amount at least equal to the difference in the value of the secure services they paid for and the services they received.
- 104. Neither Plaintiff, nor Class Members, nor any reasonable person would have provided their PII to Evolve had Evolve disclosed that its security was inadequate or that it did not adhere to industry-standard security measures.
- 105. As a result of Evolve's breach, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered actual damages resulting from theft of their PII, as well as the loss of control of their PII, and remain in imminent risk of suffering additional damages in the future.
- 106. As a result of Evolve's breach, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered actual damages resulting from their attempt to mitigate the effect of the breach of implied contract and subsequent Data Breach, including, but not limited to, taking steps to protect

themselves from the loss of their PII. As a result, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered actual identity theft and the ability to control their PII.

107. Accordingly, Plaintiff and Class Members have been injured as a result of Evolve's breach of implied contracts and are entitled to damages and/or restitution in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT SIX BREACH OF IMPLIED DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- 108. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 109. Plaintiff and Class Members entered into and/or were the beneficiaries of contracts with Defendant, as alleged above.
- 110. These contracts were subject to implied covenants of good faith and fair dealing that all parties would act in good faith and with reasonable efforts to perform their contractual obligations—both explicit and fairly implied—and would not impair the rights of the other parties to receive their rights, benefits, and reasonable expectations under the contracts. These included the covenants that Defendant would act fairly, reasonably, and in good faith in carrying out their contractual obligations to protect the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and to comply with industry standards and federal and state laws and regulations for the security of this information.
- 111. Special relationships exist between Defendant and Plaintiff and Class Members. Defendant entered into special relationships with Plaintiff and Class Members, who entrusted their confidential PII to Defendant and paid for services with Defendant.
- 112. Defendant promised and was obligated to protect the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII from disclosure to unauthorized third parties. Defendant breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing by failing to take adequate measures to protect the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, which resulted in the Data Breach.

 Defendant unreasonably interfered with the contract benefits owed to Plaintiff and Class

Members by failing to implement reasonable and adequate security measures consistent with industry standards to protect and limit access to the PII of Plaintiff and the Class in Defendant's possession.

- 113. Plaintiff and Class Members performed all conditions, covenants, obligations, and promises owed to Defendant, including paying Defendant for services and providing it the confidential PII required by the contracts.
- 114. As a result of Defendant's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff and Class Members did not receive the full benefit of their bargain—services with reasonable data privacy—and instead received services that were less valuable than what they paid for and less valuable than their reasonable expectations under the contracts. Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered actual damages in an amount equal to the difference in the value between services with reasonable data privacy that Plaintiff and Class Members paid for, and the services they received without reasonable data privacy.
- 115. As a result of Defendant's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered actual damages resulting from the theft of their PII and remain at imminent risk of suffering additional damages in the future.
- 116. As a result of Defendant's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered actual damages resulting from their attempt to ameliorate the effect of the Data Breach, including, but not limited to, taking steps to protect themselves from the loss of their PII.
- 117. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered injury in fact and are therefore entitled to relief, including restitution, declaratory relief, and a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from its conduct. Plaintiff also seeks reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under applicable law.

COUNT SEVEN UNJUST ENRICHMENT (ALTERNATIVE TO BREACH OF CONTRACT CLAIM)

- 118. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 119. Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a monetary benefit on Defendant in the form of monetary payments—directly or indirectly—for services received.
- 120. Defendant collected, maintained, and stored the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members and, as such, Defendant had knowledge of the monetary benefits conferred by Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 121. The money that Plaintiff and Class Members paid to Defendant should have been used to pay, at least in part, for the administrative costs and implementation of data management and security. Defendant failed to implement—or adequately implement—practices, procedures, and programs to secure sensitive PII, as evidenced by the Data Breach.
- 122. As a result of Defendant's failure to implement security practices, procedures, and programs to secure sensitive PII, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered actual damages in an amount equal to the difference in the value between services with reasonable data privacy that Plaintiff and Class Members paid for, and the services they received without reasonable data privacy.
- 123. Under principles of equity and good conscience, Defendant should not be permitted to retain money belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members because Defendant failed to implement the data management and security measures that are mandated by industry standards and that Plaintiff and Class Members paid for.
- 124. Defendant should be compelled to disgorge into a common fund for the benefit of Plaintiff and the Class all unlawful or inequitable proceeds received by Defendant. A constructive trust should be imposed upon all unlawful and inequitable sums received by Defendant traceable to Plaintiff and the Class.

COUNT EIGHT DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 125. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 126. Plaintiff and the Class have stated claims against Defendant based on negligence, negligence per se, gross negligence and negligent misrepresentation, and violations of various state and federal statutes.
- 127. Defendant failed to fulfill its obligations to provide adequate and reasonable security measures for the PII of Plaintiff and the Class, as evidenced by the Data Breach.
- 128. As a result of the Data Breach, Defendant's system is more vulnerable to unauthorized access and requires more stringent measures to be taken to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and the Class going forward.
- 129. An actual controversy has arisen in the wake of the Data Breach regarding Defendant's current obligations to provide reasonable data security measures to protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Class. Defendant maintains that its security measures were—and still are—reasonably adequate and denies that it previously had or have any obligation to implement better safeguards to protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Class.
- 130. Plaintiff seeks a declaration that Defendant must implement specific additional, prudent industry security practices to provide reasonable protection and security to the PII of Plaintiff and the Class. Specifically, Plaintiff and the Class seek a declaration that Defendant's existing security measures do not comply with their obligations, and that Defendant must implement and maintain reasonable security measures on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class to comply with their data security obligations.

B. Claims Brought on Behalf of the Virginia Subclass

COUNT NINE VIRGINIA CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT Va. Code §§ 59.1-196, et seq.

133. Plaintiff Zarif, individually and on behalf of the Virginia Subclass incorporates all foregoing factual allegations as if fully set forth herein. This claim is brought individually and on

behalf of the Virginia Subclass under the laws of Virginia.

- 134. Plaintiff Zarif and Virginia Subclass members engaged in a "consumer transaction" with Evolve as defined by Va. Code § 59.1-198.
- 135. Evolve advertised, offered or sold goods or services in Virginia and engaged in trade or commerce directly or indirectly affecting the people of Virginia.
- 136. Evolve engaged in deception, fraud false pretense, false promise, or misrepresentation in connection with a consumer transaction, in violation of Va. Code § 59.1-200 including:
- a) Failing to implement and maintain reasonable security and privacy measures to protect Plaintiff's and Virginia Subclass Members' PII, which was a direct and proximate cause of the Data Breach;
- b) Failing to identify and remediate foreseeable security and privacy risks and adequately improve security and privacy measures despite knowing the risk of cybersecurity incidents, which was a direct and proximate cause of the Data Breach;
- c) Failing to comply with common law and statutory duties pertaining to the security and privacy of Plaintiff's and Virginia Subclass Members' PII, including duties imposed by Va. Code §§ 59.1-575, et seq. and the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, which was a direct and proximate cause of the Data Breach;
- e) Misrepresenting that it would protect the privacy and confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Virginia Subclass Members' PII, including by implementing and maintain reasonable security measures;
- f) Misrepresenting that it would comply with common law and statutory duties pertaining to the security and privacy of Plaintiff's and Virginia Subclass Members' PII, including duties imposed by Va. Code §§ 59.1-575, et seq. and the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.
- g) Omitting, suppressing, and concealing the material fact that it did not reasonably or adequately secure Plaintiff's and Virginia Subclass Members' PII; and
- h) Omitting, suppressing, and concealing the material fact that it did not comply with common law and statutory duties pertaining to the security and privacy of Plaintiff's and

Virginia Subclass Members' PII, including duties imposed by Va. Code §§ 59.1-575, et seq. and the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

- 137. Evolve's representations and omissions were material because they were likely to deceive reasonable consumers about the adequacy of Evolve's data security and ability to protect the confidentiality of consumers' PII.
- 138. Had Evolve disclosed to Plaintiff and Virginia Subclass Members that its data systems were not secure and thus vulnerable to attack, Evolve would have been forced to adopt reasonable data security measures and comply with the law. Evolve was trusted with sensitive and valuable PII regarding millions of consumers, including Plaintiff and the Virginia Subclass. Evolve accepted the responsibility of protecting the data, while keeping the inadequate state of its security controls secret from the public. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Virginia Subclass Members acted reasonably in relying on Evolve's misrepresentations and omissions, the truth of which they could not have discovered.
- 139. As a direct and proximate result of Evolve's unconscionable, unfair, and deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiff and Virgnia Subclass Members have suffered and will continue to suffer injury, ascertainable losses of money or property, and monetary and non-monetary damages, as described herein, including but not limited to one or more of the following: ongoing, imminent, certainly impending threat of identity theft crimes, fraud, and other misuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; actual identity theft crimes, fraud, and other misuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; loss of the value of their privacy and the confidentiality of the stolen PII; (iv) illegal sale of the compromised PII on the black market; mitigation expenses and time spent on credit monitoring, identity theft insurance, and credit freezes and unfreezes; time spent in response to the Data Breach reviewing bank statements, credit card statements, and credit reports, among other related activities; expenses and time spent initiating fraud alerts; decreased credit scores and ratings; lost work time; lost value of PII; lost value of access to PII permitted by Evolve; the amount of the actuarial present value of ongoing high-quality identity defense and credit monitoring services made necessary as mitigation measures because of Evolve's Data Breach; lost benefits of bargains as well as

overcharges for services or products; nominal and general damages; and other economic and non-economic harm.

140. Plaintiff and Virginia Subclass Members seek all monetary and non-monetary relief allowed by law, including actual damages under Va. Code § 59.1-204A; reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under Va. Code § 59.1-204B; declaratory relief under Va. Code § 59.1-205; and any other relief that is just and proper.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and on behalf of the proposed Class and Subclasses, request that the Court:

- a. Certify this case as a class action, appoint Plaintiff as class representative, and appoint Plaintiff's Counsel as Class Counsel for Plaintiff to represent the Class;
- b. Find that Evolve breached its duty to safeguard and protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members that was compromised in the Data Breach;
- c. Award Plaintiff and Class Members appropriate relief, including actual and statutory damages, restitution and disgorgement;
 - d. Award equitable, injunctive and declaratory relief as may be appropriate;
- e. Award all costs, including experts' fees and attorneys' fees, and the costs of prosecuting this action;
 - f. Award pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as prescribed by law; and
 - g. Grant additional legal or equitable relief as this Court may find just and proper.

VIII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: August 14, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ J. Gerard Stranch, IV

J. Gerard Stranch, IV (BPR 23045)

STRANCH, JENNINGS & GARVEY, PLLC

223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 200

Nashville, Tennessee 37203

Phone: (615) 254-8801

gstranch@stranchlaw.com

COTCHETT PITRE & MCCARTHY LLP

Thomas E. Loeser (*Pro hac vice to be filed*) Karin B. Swope Ellen J Wen 999 N. Northlake Way, Suite 215 Seattle, WA 98103 Tel: (206) 802-1272

Tel: (206) 802-1272 Fax: (650) 697-0577

tloeser@cpmlegal.com.com kswope@cpmlegal.com ewen@cpmlegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the proposed Class